Sustainability Journal

Research Article

GENTRIFICATION AND ITS IMPACT ON URBAN SOCIAL RIGHTS: EXAMINING THE RIGHT TO THE CITY

Sonwukwe Chijioke Nwachinemere and Cogbuokiri Ijeoma Okechukwu

^{1,2}Department of Architecture, Federal Polytechnic Nekede-Owerri, Owerri, Imo State, Nigeria.

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.14795480

Abstract

This critical commentary investigates the nexus between gentrification and the concept of the "Right to the City", as postulated by Henri Lefebvre. Gentrification, a procedure concomitant with the deracination of long-standing, lower-income residents in favour of affluent newcomers, leads to social ostracization, housing inequality, spiking housing costs, and the deterioration of cultural character in burghal neighbourhoods. While gentrification is often portrayed as regeneration or urban improvement, its boons are rarely equitably distributed, exacerbating inequalities and disparaging vulnerable populations. The "Right to the City" offers a potent rebuttal-narrative to gentrification by endorsing inclusive, participatory urban development that emphasizes the rights of all residents, particularly marginalized classes. This concept prioritizes the right to access, use, and shape burghal spaces according to the needs of the people who occupy them, rather than the interests of developers and external investors. While approaches such as community land trusts, participatory urban planning, co-design deployments, rent control, and hoi polloi movements were identified as means of safeguarding the urban poor from expulsion and inequitable development, thought-provoking concepts were fronted to stimulate critical reflection, debate, and new perspectives in gentrification. Such provocative concepts are expected to open vistas of bold rethinking of urban policies and a commitment to resisting displacement towards preserving the community and ensuring that urban growth serves the public good, not private profit.

Keywords: Burghal, Class, Gentrification, Hoi Polloi, Neighbourhoods, Inequality

1. Introduction

Gentrification, a procedure marked by the alteration of burghal districts through the inundation of wealthier residents and the ousting of lower-income settlements, has become a prominent occurrence in cities across the globe (Melody et al., 2019). Arising in the 1960s, the term initially described the remodelling of working-class locales in London, but it has since come to epitomize a wider, global urban modification tendency. At its core, gentrification involves a shift in neighbourhood population stats, propelled by rising property values, increased rents, and changing cultural tapestries (Alina et al., 2020). While proponents often frame gentrification as urban renewal or renaissance, bringing investment and services to previously primordial areas, its outcomes are complex and far-reaching, particularly for long-term residents.

One of the important frameworks through which gentrification is scrutinized is the concept of the right to the city. Proposed by French philosopher Henri Lefebvre in 1968, the right to the city submits that burghal zones are not

Sustainability Journal

Research Article

merely physical locations but social builds shaped by those who occupy them (Henri, 2012). Lefebvre's conception challenges the commercialization of urban spaces, arguing that all residents, particularly disparaged groups, have a constitutional right to shape their burghal environments and engage in decisions affecting their immediate climes. This notion runs contrary to the capitalist-driven transformation of cities, where space is increasingly balkanised and controlled by market forces.

In the backdrop of gentrification, the right to the city calls to attention the tensions between development and displacement. As wealthier persons and businesses move into historically lower-income areas, long-standing residents are often priced out and sidelined, losing not only their homes but also their cultural and social trellis (Na'Taki et al., 2021). This process inordinately impacts vulnerable groups, particularly racial and ethnic minorities, who often face serious hindrances to accessing affordable housing and economic opportunities. As such, gentrification raises fundamental posers about who has the right to live, work, and flourish in urban spaces, and who is ostracised from this process(June, 2019).

2. The Process and Facilitators of Gentrification

As a complex and multifaceted urban issue, gentrification has become a phenomenon that reshapes localities through population stats, and economic, and cultural changes. At its centre, gentrification involves the relocation of lower-income, often minority, residents as financially stable individuals and businesses move into a neighbourhood, causing property values and rents to rise (Alina et al., 2020). This process can lead to a change in a community's physical mien, social composition, and cultural identity. While gentrification is often welcome for redeveloping neglected areas and boosting economic advancement, it also raises serious issues about imbalance, displacement, and the loss of communal unity (Rowland et al., 2011). To fully comprehend gentrification, it is important to check its process and the rudimentary drivers that encourage its global spread across cities.

a. Stages of Gentrification

The process of gentrification typically commences in stages, starting with the discovery of a district by middle-class or affluent newcomers. Initially, these zones are perceived as cheaper alternatives to more exorbitant parts of a metropolis, attracting diverse professionals, and persons seeking inexpensive living matrices. These earlycomers - "urban pioneers," settle into areas marked by lower rents or property values, often in historically working-class or minority hamlets (:Robert and Ernest, 2019). As these newcomers settle in, they begin to change the locale in subtle patterns. Local businesses may fend for the tastes and preferences of these new entrants, offering high-end coffee shops, boutiques, and restaurants. As information circulates that the district is "up-and-coming," wealthier individuals and investors start to take notice. Property developers, speculators and real estate agents begin marketing the district as a desirable place to live, exploiting its newfound glitz. This results in an inundation of wealthier cohorts, further spiking property values and rents. As the district continues to develop,

Sustainability Journal

Research Article

longstanding settlers— often from lower-income backgrounds—face increasing financial pressure. Older tenants may be forced out due to rising rents, while aboriginal landlords may dispose of their properties at inflated prices, either willingly or due to increasing property taxes. With time, the neighbourhood's original population is ousted, replaced by affluent usurpers who reshape the social and cultural fabric of the native settlement. This change can be so impactful to the extent of rendering the neighbourhood almost obscure to those who lived there before the gentrification process began (Jason et al., 2019). *b. Facilitators of Gentrification*

Gentrification is propelled by a variety of economic, social, and political circumstances, each contributing to the reshaping of erstwhile local spaces.

- i. The major economic driver of gentrification is profiteering activities by property developers, investors, and real estate speculators (Ernesto et al., 2015). Urban areas with low property values present appealing investment openings, as developers can procure properties at a low cost, remodel or redevelop them, and sell or rent them at exorbitant prices. This activity, known as real estate speculation, expedites gentrification as developers seek to increase their returns by wooing wealthier residents to the area (Hiroaki et al., 2015). Government frameworks also play crucial roles in exacerbating gentrification (Loretta et al., 2013). Tax rebates for developers, zoning changes, and infrastructure improvements can make previously unwanted neighbourhoods more attractive to investors and businesses. These blueprints are often executed under the guise of urban regeneration or economic development, but they can have the far-reaching consequences of facilitating gentrification and displacing long-term, settlers.
- ii. Social and cultural nuggets also encourage gentrification, particularly in the early stages of neighbourhood transformation (Emily, 2005). The quest for urban living, triggered by cultural shifts toward city life, has contributed to gentrification in many towns. As more populations seek the epicurean lifestyle and amenities of urban environments, they are drawn to conurbations that offer nearness to work, pleasure,
- and cultural institutions (Lewis, 1930). In many cases, these persons are willing to move into lower-income locales in search of cheaper housing options.
- iii. Furthermore, the arts and creative industries have been recognized as adjuvants for gentrification (Carl, 2015). Artists and creative experts often settle into low-cost areas, drawn by the handiness of affordable studio space and housing. Their presence can change a neighbourhood's popularity, making it a chic destination for rich individuals wishing to live in culturally responsive localities. This, in turn, entices investors and developers, further driving up property values.
- iv. Political outcomes at the local and national levels can notably affect the rate and scale of gentrification (David and Susane, 2019). Urban regeneration projects, infrastructure investments, and alterations in zoning ordinances can all contribute to the process. For example, governments may facilitate the redevelopment of untapped or neglected areas as part of comprehensive economic growth approaches. While these frameworks are

Sustainability Journal

Research Article

often intended to rejig cities and woo investors, they can overly favour wealthier newcomers at the expense of long-term settlers.

v. Public housing blueprints can also advance gentrification (Adrien, 2015). In some instances, governments may sell or renovate public housing in desirable urban areas, substituting affordable housing with luxury developments. This can lead to the deracination of impecunious settlers who are not able to afford the new housing alternatives.

3. The Impact of Gentrification: Deracination and Inequality

Gentrification often results in the regeneration of burghal spaces (Alice, 2023). However, this process has significant backlash, particularly for the long-time displaced lower-income settlers. Gentrification leads to rising property values, increased living costs, and growing socioeconomic chasms thereby worsening inequality within cities (Helen et al., 2021). This critical commentary investigates how gentrification contributes to displacement and inequality while considering probable policy solutions to alleviate its negative impacts.

a. Displacement and Fragmentation of Communities

Displacement is one of the most direct and adverse consequences of gentrification (Ashley et al., 2021). As the values of properties and rent increase, many impoverished residents find it progressively difficult to afford living in their localities. Direct Displacement occurs when tenants are expelled due to rent hikes or outright sale of properties to developers (Alex, 2021). On the other hand, Indirect Displacement happens when long-time residents willingly leave due to social or financial pressures, such as the incapability to keep up with the rising cost of living or the changing character of the locale (Peter, 2023).

This displacement inordinately affects susceptible groups, including people of colour, senior citizens, and low-income families, who often lack the wherewithal or legal means to resist eviction. For example, in cities like San Francisco and New York, historically Black, Latino, and immigrant settlements have faced extensive deracination as affluent, often white, residents move into their neighbourhoods (Nina et al., 2024). The result is the breakdown of tightly knit communities which have evolved over generations, causing the erosion of social networks and a deterioration of cultural identity.

b. Rising Costs and Widening Economic Imbalance

One of the key propellants of displacement is the rising cost of living that is concomitant with gentrification (University of Texas, 2024). As wealthier cohorts move into a neighbourhood, property values spike. So do house rents, property taxes, and the prices of goods and services. For many aboriginal residents, this abrupt increase in the cost of living makes it fiscally unsustainable to retain their homes, even if they are not directly displaced through eviction.

Businesses in gentrifying areas also face an economic squeeze. Longstanding, locally-owned stores, restaurants, and services that cater to working-class residents may be coerced to shut down or relocate as rents and premises

Sustainability Journal

Research Article

rates spike (Olivia and Stacy, 2016). These businesses are often replaced by high-priced establishments that serve the needs and tastes of more financially-endowed newcomers, further ostracizing the existing community. This shift not only escalates economic strain for remaining residents but also births a sense of loss, as the neighbourhood's character changes and local establishments wither.

Gentrification tends to worsen subsisting socioeconomic inequalities by hounding wealth and opportunity in the hands of new residents while pushing out impoverished citizenry (Alina et al., 2020). While infrastructure, schools, and public services may be enhanced in gentrifying areas, these boons and advancements often come too late for displaced residents to take advantage of them. Those who make an effort to stay sometimes have to pay more and might not gain as much from these advancements.

c. Loss of Cultural Identity

Gentrification often deteriorates the cultural character of neighbourhoods that have long been shaped by minority communities (Yael, 2023). As more affluent individuals settle in, the cultural landscape of the neighbourhood alters, and the traditions, businesses, and social trellis that once defined the community may disappear. For instance, in Chicago's Pilsen neighbourhood, gentrification has led to the relocation of many Latino families, threatening the area's Mexican-American cultural identity (Winifred, 2017).

The incursion of new, wealthier residents often brings with it infrastructure and services that fend for a different demographic, such as expensive restaurants and boutiques, further changing the neighbourhood's identity. For deracinated residents, the cultural and social breakdown can be as painful as the physical displacement, as their sense of belonging and commonality is diminished.

d. Policy Solutions and Inclusive Development

To redress the negative effects of gentrification, policymakers must deploy tactics to protect vulnerable populations and encourage inclusivity. Rent control and affordable housing blueprints can help ensure that long-time residents are not priced out of gentrifying districts. Community land trusts, where land is owned jointly by a nonprofit organization, can also offer long-term remedies for affordable housing by removing properties from the speculative real estate hub.

Involving communities in the development process is another key tactic. Residents can ensure that development meets their needs without isolating them when they have a channel of communication to shape the changes in their neighbourhoods (Jan, 2007).

4. The Right to the City: A Rebuttal to Gentrification

The notion of "The Right to the City" is a powerful fabric for advancing urban equity and resisting the unfavourable impacts of gentrification. Coined by French sociologist Henri Lefebvre in 1968, the expression captures the idea that city occupants, particularly disparaged and vulnerable groups, should have a central part in shaping their urban enclave (Mark, 2013). This belief stands in direct antimony to gentrification, a process by

Sustainability Journal

Research Article

which affluent individuals displace long-standing, impoverished residents from their localities, often leading to communal displacement, spiking property values, and the loss of cultural character. As cities around the world struggle with the consequences of rapid citification, the right to the city offers a rebuttal, focusing on inclusivity, commonality empowerment, and equitable urban burgeoning.

a. Understanding Gentrification

Gentrification ushers in some level of change in urban neighbourhoods when affluent individuals or developers move into areas that have consistently housed working-class or minority living cohorts. The arrival of these new settlers is concomitant with rising property values, new businesses catering to well-to-do customers, and increased municipal financing (IFC, 2009). However, these changes often come at a consequential cost to long-term residents, who find themselves priced out of their neighbourhoods as rents inflate and the cost of living rises (Rowland, 2000).

The procedure of gentrification is often termed "urban revitalization" or "development," and indeed, the influx of new investments may upgrade infrastructure, safety, and access to services. However, these gains are frequently unevenly allotted. Lower-income residents are often sidelined from these improvements and are instead coerced to leave, losing their homes, communities, and cultural foundation. This way, gentrification is not merely an economic move but a form of social banishment that exacerbates inequality in urban districts.

b. The Right to the City as Resistance

The right to the city prescribes a more republican and participatory route to urban development. Its central focus asserts that all residents, irrespective of socio-economic position, have a right to actively participate in the decision-making processes that mould their enclave (Alison and Annali, 2009). Rather than leaving the future of neighbourhoods in the hands of speculators and municipal control, this groundwork empowers ordinary citizens, particularly marginalized groups, to determine urban planning and development.

One of the central principles of this concept is the right to "appropriate" urban space, meaning that inhabitants have the right to inhabit and handle the city in ways that meet their needs. This can include cost-effective housing, entry to public spaces, and the protection of community identity. By recovering space for those who are often pushed to the periphery, the right to the city dares the exclusionary dialectics of gentrification.

5. Blueprint Responses: Averting and Mitigating Gentrification

The problem of gentrification has sparked discussions about how cities can attain urban development without displacing marginalized populations. Through viable policy responses, cities can prevent or allay the negative effects of gentrification, ensuring that urban advancement benefits all residents equitably.

a. Affordable Housing Policies

A critical approach for allaying gentrification is the development and conservation of costeffective housing. Spiking rents and property prices are the main forces that propel displacement in gentrifying districts (Mats et al.,

Sustainability Journal

Research Article

2021). By fronting policies that protect sustainable housing, cities can provide stability for long-term residents and ensure that new infrastructure does not solely fend for wealthier demographics.

b. Inclusionary Zoning

One of the most efficient instruments for increasing affordable housing is inclusionary zoning. This requires developers to assign a percentage of new housing units as affordable units for aboriginal settlers (Andreas et al., 2024). This strategy ensures that as new infrastructure sprouts, there will still be housing available to low- and middle-income families. Cities such as New York and San Francisco have successfully executed inclusionary zoning, making affordable units a lasting part of the housing stock. Inclusionary zoning can also be planned with flexible incentives, such as density bonuses, to inspire developers to participate.

c. Rent Control and Stabilization

Rent administration strategies cap the amount by which landlords can inflate rent. This will help to keep housing affordable for long-term tenants (AHURI, 2023). This policy, however, must be carefully scripted to avoid dispiriting new housing development. FasterCapital (2024) posits that rent stabilization, a more flexible option, controls the rate of rent increases but makes room for some adjustment based on inflation and property advancement. Both tools can provide fundamental protections for tenants in neighbourhoods experiencing rapid rent increments due to gentrification.

d. Accessible Housing Trust Funds

U.S. House of Rep. (2008), in their 100th congress, the first session submitted that cities can set up affordable housing trust funds to finance the birthing and preservation of cost-effective housing units. These funds can be bankrolled by diverse sources, such as developer fees, property taxes, or grants, and can be deployed to improve subsisting units or construct new affordable ones. Affordable Housing Trust Funds help guarantee that housing alternatives are within reach of lower-income residents, even in swiftly gentrifying areas.

e. Terra Firma Trusts

Community Land Trusts (CLTs) have continued to be progressively attractive instruments for averting deracination by ensuring long-term accessibility (Udi et al., 2016). CLTs are non-profit organizations that obtain and secure land on behalf of a community, ensuring that housing stays reasonable for upcoming generations. Residents in CLT properties own their homes, but the land supporting the homes is owned collectively by the trust. This paradigm stabilizes housing costs, prevents speculative property increments, and allows the community to retain ownership of their neighbourhood development.

CLTs also foster community acceptance, as long-term residents and local stakeholders play direct roles in managing the land and moulding the future of their neighbourhood. Areas like Burlington, Vermont, and Oakland, California, have auspiciously applied CLTs to alleviate the effects of gentrification. By removing land from the speculative market, CLTs ensure that members of the community are not impoverished by rising costs of land.

Sustainability Journal

Research Article

f. Tenant Protections and Anti-Displacement Measures

Aboriginal protections are a crucial policy response to prevent displacement caused by gentrification. These frameworks ensure that long-term tenants can remain and retain their homes despite rising market pressures (Justin, 2022).

g. Just Cause Eviction Laws

Just Cause Eviction Laws defend tenants from eviction without a legitimate cause. This includes failure to pay rent or abuse of the lease agreements. These laws stop landlords from evicting tenants simply to increase rents or outright sale to wealthier buyers (Lindsay and David, 2023). Cities like San Francisco and Seattle have implemented the law to safeguard tenants in gentrifying neighbourhoods.

h. Right to Return Policies

Right-to-return blueprints ensure that residents who are displaced due to redevelopment have the chance to return to their neighbourhood once the project is finished. These frameworks often include financial assistance for migration and guarantees of reasonable rents for returning residents (AU, 2021). By ensuring that long-term settlers are not permanently ostracized, rightto-return policies can alleviate the negative impact of urban redevelopment.

i. Tenant Organizing and Legal Assistance

Chris (2020) submits that empowering tenants to coordinate and champion their rights is another key part of antidisplacement approaches. Neighbourhoods can aid tenant unions and provide legal support to renters facing eviction or harassment from landlords. By promoting tenant defence, cities can help residents oppose displacement and demand fair housing strategies.

j. Community Boons

Community Benefits Agreements (CBAs), according to Haley and Lisette (2024) are lawful irrevocable accords and contracts between developers and communal cohorts that make sure development projects provide palpable and measurable boons to the local community. These agreements can include requirements for affordable housing, local hiring strategies, and investment in public areas. CBAs allow communities to negotiate with developers and ensure that monumental projects do not result in the deracination of long-standing residents. CBAs have been auspiciously executed in cities like Los Angeles and Detroit, where they have assisted in mitigating the negative effects of large-scale development projects. By involving communities in the planning procedures and route, CBAs create a more equitable development framework and avert the displacement of marginalized populations.

k. Collaborative Planning and Zoning Amendments

Participatory planning is associated with engaging local communities in the decision-making process for urban development schemes (Abdulaziz, 2023). By involving residents early in the planning stages, neighbourhoods can ensure that development projects satisfy the demands of existing communities.

Sustainability Journal

Research Article

l. Synergistic Financial Planning

Silvia and Christian (2024) submits that Participatory Budgeting is a procedure that allows community members to monitor and determine how public funds are directed and spent in their districts. This process allows residents to lend their voice to the types of investments that take place, making sure that resources are budgeted toward projects that are viable and beneficial to the community, such as cost-effective housing or public services. Participatory Budgeting has been successfully deployed in cities like Porto Alegre, Brazil, and New York City to address community demands and decrease the strain from gentrification.

m. Zoning Reform

Zoning Canon often promote gentrification by encouraging massive, market-rate development in low-income areas. Zoning reforms can prevent deracination by focusing on affordable housing and community-serving uses in gentrifying neighbourhoods (Allison, 2023). Cities can apply zoning veneering of special neighbourhoods that protect vulnerable areas from speculative development and insist that new construction aligns with the community's demands.

6. Hoi polloi Resistance to Gentrification

Opposition to gentrification is ingrained in the empowerment of residents and their joint effort to confront the forces threatening their neighbourhoods. These movements adopt diverse strategies ranging from legal action to direct action protests, all geared towards protecting the social and cultural morality of their communities. Below are some of the ways by which the grassroots render their angst against gentrification.

a. Community Organizing and Mobilization

Grassroots resistance is rooted in community organizing, where residents band together to raise awareness about how gentrification affects them and advocate for blueprints that accentuate their needs (Lauren, 2024). Local organizations, neighbourhood alliances, and tenant groups are often at the vanguard of these efforts, coordinating protests, public conclaves, and media crusades to create awareness of the issue. By leveraging the power of collaborative action, these cohorts aim to birth a unified front against development projects that may want to displace longtime residents.

b. Pressure Groups

In many outcomes, grassroots campaigns front coalitions with local support groups, labour coalitions, and civil rights alliances to reinforce their impact. These alliances create pedestals that amplify their concerns, making it difficult for developers and policymakers to overlook their needs. An instance of this is witnessed in cities like San Francisco and New York, where communal organizations have aptly mobilized against massive development plans that spotlight opulent housing over affordable alternatives (Holly, 2024).

Sustainability Journal

Research Article

c. Advocacy for Affordable Housing Policies

Cost-effective housing is the focus of grassroots defiance to gentrification. Many grassroots campaigns seek rent management measures, inclusionary planning policies, and community land trusts to promote affordable housing alternatives for current and future residents. Rent control frameworks limit rent spikes and provide tenants with greater housing guarantees, while inclusionary planning demands developers to allot a portion of new housing units as affordable (Christina et al., 2021).

d. Preserving Cultural Character

Cultural displacement is an often-overlooked outcome of gentrification. As affluent residents move into historically disparaged neighbourhoods, cultural totems, businesses, and institutions that showcase the community's character are supplanted with high-end outfits that cater to the new demographic (Jason et al., 2019). Grassroots resistance campaigns focus on conserving the cultural character of their neighbourhoods through the advancement of local art, music, food, and traditions.

Some movements have adopted approaches such as organizing cultural fetes, supporting local businesses, and recording neighbourhood annals to ensure that the cultural tapestry remains intact. By fostering a sense of pride and holding, these initiatives bolster the community's resolution to resist the homogenization ushered in by gentrification.

e. Direct Action and Protests

L.A. Kauffman (2017) submits that direct action is a key strategy adopted by grassroots campaigns to accost headlong, developers' and city officials' aloofness to community concerns. Protests, picketing, lock-outs, sit-ins, and occupations of development sites are common types of resistance targeted at lagging or halting projects. These steps often gain media awareness, bringing public inquiry into gentrification and its harmful impacts. For example, in Berlin, antigentrification protesters once occupied buildings scheduled for luxury redesigns, forcing the government to revisit its housing framework.

7. Reframing Gentrification: Ethical Urgency

The gentrification narrative obscures the inherent brutality of deracination and prohibition imposed upon disparaged communities. From a theoretical provocation outlook, it is vital to reframe gentrification not merely as an economic procedure but as an organised breach of the "right to the city"—a fundamental right that emphasises human needs over plutocratic interests.

Provocation 1: Who Owns the Burgh?

Gentrification endorses that urban space is an asset, obtainable by the highest bidder. The "right to the city" opposes this idea by asserting that urban spaces should be structured jointly by their inhabitants (Andrzej, 2016). This provocation asks: 'Should cities belong to those with financial leverage, or to the communities who have historically lived, worked, and created there'?

Sustainability Journal

Research Article

Provocation 2: Gentrification as "Urban Colonialism"

Conceptually, we might incite deeper cogitation by delineating gentrification as a modern form of *urban* colonialism, where disparaged residents are edged out by a colonizing force of affluence and authority, deleting their presence and culture from the city. This shifts the discussion, forcing us to challenge the ethical implications of gentrification as a form of domination rather than renewal.

Provocation 3: Urban Space as a Human Right

Burghal spaces are controlled by real estate developers and speculative investors, metamorphosizing districts into commodities for global markets (Christopher, 2019). This provocation encourages the commodification of urban land by postulating that urban space is a brand to be bought and sold rather than a human right. *Can we reconceive the city as a space where fundamental rights, like housing and community, are emphasized over the ratiocination of profit*? If urban space is a right, then deracination due to gentrification becomes an abuse of this right—an ethical bankruptcy in the administration of cities.

Provocation 4: The "Right to Stay Put"

Mark (2013) includes the idea that residents have the right to partake in decisions that mould their urban enclaves. Yet, gentrification denies this right by ostracizing aboriginal residents from their districts. A provocative theoretical shift is to focus on the "right to stay put"—the right for communities to remain in places of descent without being relocated by economic forces. This concept reformulates the fight against gentrification not just as resistance to deracination but as a defence of community integrity, culture, and social affiliations.

Provocation 5: The Burgh as a Cistern of Resistance

Cities are viewed as spaces of progress and economic convenience. This provocation reframes them as 'sites of resistance' against forces of plutocratic expansion, commercialization and ostracism. Hoi polloi movements defying gentrification are not merely reactions to development. They represent the reclaiming of space and a demand for republican control over urban futures. In this view, the right to the city is a call for defiance against the neoliberal logic that diminishes urban life to financial negotiations, instead of endorsing cities that are moulded by collective, bias-free decision-making.

By provoking vital posers around ownership, displacement, and the ethics of urban evolution, the conversation around gentrification and the right to the city moves from one of economic inevitability to one of ethical gravity. Conceptually, these provocations spur us to reimagine cities as spaces where fairness, justice, and communal rights take preeminence over profit and exclusion.

8. Conclusion

Discourse on gentrification, as a necessary derivative of urban renewal, conceals the deep inequalities and debarment it perpetuates. To reimagine this singularity through the lens of conceptual provocation is to challenge the conjecture that underlies it. Gentrification is not just an economic or spatial happenstance—it is a direct abuse

Sustainability Journal

Research Article

of the right to the city, a right that asserts every resident's profession to urban space, participation, and influence over the future of their neighbourhoods.

Provoking a reconceptualization of gentrification forces us to confront its colonial underpinnings. By treating urban spaces as commodities, accessible only to those with capital, gentrification mirrors colonial practices that dispossess and displace indigenous populations. This perspective compels us to ask: Who truly owns the city? And should a city's development be dictated by market forces or by the needs and aspirations of its diverse inhabitants? This shift in thinking opens space for alternative models of urban growth that prioritize social equity over profit-driven imperatives.

Further, the provocation that gentrification constitutes a violation of the "right to stay put" reframes the conversation around urban development. Cities should not simply be arenas for economic exchange; they must be places where communities, especially those long rooted in them, have the right to remain without fear of displacement. This right emphasizes stability and belonging, demanding policies that prioritize affordable housing, tenant protections, and community empowerment.

Conceptual provocation also urges us to reconsider urban space as a site of resistance. The grassroots movements that challenge gentrification are not merely reactive forces; they are proactive claims to the right to the city. These movements represent a demand for collective ownership and democratic governance of urban spaces. In this sense, the fight against gentrification is a fight to reclaim cities as places of belonging, creativity, and cultural diversity.

In conclusion, conceptual provocations around gentrification and the right to the city push us to rethink urban development as more than a market-driven enterprise. They challenge us to imagine cities as inclusive, equitable spaces where all residents—regardless of their economic standing—can shape and participate in the future of their environments. In doing so, they offer a radical reimagining of what just, sustainable, and human-centred urban spaces could be.

References

Abdulaziz A (2023) Community participation in urban planning process in Saudi Arabia: An empirical assessment. *Journal of Urban Management* 12(3): 221–230.

Adrien F (2015) Finding Common Ground: Exploring Whether Gentrification and Public Housing Can Co-Exist. *Public Interest Law Reporter* 21(1): 1–8.

AHURI (2023) Understanding what is a 'rent freeze', a 'rent cap' or 'rent control'.

Sustainability Journal

Research Article

- Alex R (2021) Displacement through development? Property turnover and eviction risk in Seattle. *Urban Studies* 59(6): 1148–1166.
- Alice E (2023) Achieving urban regeneration without gentrification? Community enterprises and community assets in the UK. *Journal of Urban Affairs*: 1–24.
- Alina SS-M, Jaquelyn LJ, S.V. Subramanian, et al. (2020) Gentrification, Neighbourhood Change, and Population Health: a Systematic Review. *Journal of Urban Health* 97(5): 1–25.
- Alison B and Annali K (2009) *Urban policies and the right to the city: rights, responsibilities and citizenship.* 11 March.
- Allison H (2023) Rethinking Zoning to Increase Affordable Housing.
- Andreas S, Ivan T and Margot R (2024) Inclusionary housing: A novel approach to building integrated cities.
- Andrzej Z (2016) The right to write the city: Lefebvre and graffiti. *Environment Urbain / Urban Environment* 10: 1–20.
- Ashley JQ, Christopher T and Wen W (2021) Displacement and the Consequences of Gentrification.
- AU (2021) Study on Return, Readmission and Reintegration Programmes in Africa. April. Vienna.
- Carl G (2015) Arts, Gentrification, and Planning for Creativity. Carolina Planning 3(41): 22–29.
- Chris S (2020) Lessons from the All-In Cities Anti-Displacement Policy Network.
- Christina PS, Owen N, Jorge M-B, et al. (2021) Rent Control Key Policy Components and Their Equity Implications.
- Christopher YT (2019) London's Urban Landscape: Another Way of Telling. London: UCL Press.
- David C and Susane L (2019) Neighbourhood inequality spillover effects of gentrification.
- Papers in Regional Science 98(3): 1469–1485.

Sustainability Journal

Research Article

- Emily T (2005) New Urbanism and American Planning the Conflict of Cultures. 2nd ed. Ridge: Taylor & Francis.
- Ernesto LM, Lees, Loretta, et al. (2015) *Global Gentrifications Uneven Development and Displacement*. 5th ed. Policy Press.
- FasterCapital (2024) Rent Increase: Rising Rates: How Lessors and Lessees Can Navigate Rent Increases.
- Haley K and Lisette P (2024) Making infrastructure more equitable and inclusive: How and why community benefits agreements can be incorporated into infrastructure projects.
- Helen VSC, Roshanak M, Pedro G, et al. (2021) Breaking Down and Building Up: Gentrification, Its drivers, and Urban Health Inequality. *Built Environment and Health* 8: 157–166.
- Henri L (2012) Le Droit à La Ville. Taylor & Francis.
- Hiroaki S, Jin M and Yu-Hung H (2015) Financing Transit-Oriented Development with Land Values. 3rd ed. World Bank Publications.
- Holly D (2024) Five Affordable Housing Developments Shaping New York City.
- IFC (2009) PROJECTS AND PEOPLE: A Handbook for Addressing Project-Induced InMigration.
- Jan S (2007) Communication for Development and Social Change. France: Sage.
- Jason R, Bruce M and Juan F (2019) SHIFTING NEIGHBORHOODS Gentrification and cultural displacement in American cities.
- June L-A (2019) For Those Who Remain: Gentrification, Cultural Displacement, and Sense of Home.
- Justin D (2022) Localized Anti-Displacement Policies Ways To Combat the Effects of Gentrification and Lack of Affordable Housing. 26 September.
- L.A. Kauffman (2017) Direct Action Protest and the Reinvention of American Radicalism. Verso Books.
- Lauren P (2024) Gentrification Community Resistance and Resilience: Fighting Gentrification Through Collective Action.

Sustainability Journal

Research Article

- Lewis M (1930) The Culture of Cities.
- Lindsay D and David F (2023) 14-1 Advancing Tenant Protections: Just Cause Eviction Laws.
- Loretta L, Tom S and Elvin W (2013) Gentrification. 4th ed. Oak: Taylor & Francis.
- Mark P (2013) Possible Worlds: Henri Lefebvre And The Right To The City. *Journal Of Urban Affairs* 36(1): 141–154.
- Mats W, Mohammad I and Abukar W (2021) Gentrification effects on housing prices in neighbouring areas. *International Journal of Housing Markets and Analysis*: 1–20.
- Melody ET, Carolina R, Mahasin SM, et al. (2019) "Clear action requires clear thinking": A systematic review of gentrification and health research in the United States. *Health & Place* 6(4): 102173–102191.
- Na'Taki OJ, Viniece J and Alessandro R (2021) Green Gentrification and Health: A Scoping Review. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health 18(3): 907–1112.
- Nina M, Leiha E and Chris DP (2024) Inplacement, not just displacement: Urban assemblages and neighbourhood stability in the face of gentrification. *Journal of Urban Affairs*: 1–20.
- Olivia L and Stacy M (2016) How Rising Commercial Rents Are Threatening Independent Businesses, and What Cities Are Doing About It. 12 April.
- Peter G (2023) Mainstreaming Migration And Displacement Into Urban Policy: A Guide. 29 September. Nairobi.
- :Robert EP and Ernest WB (2019) The City. 5th ed. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Rowland A (2000) The hidden costs of gentrification: Displacement in central London. *Journal of Housing and the Built Environment* 15(4): 307–326.
- Rowland A, Maryann W, Margaret R, et al. (2011) Gentrification and displacement: the household impacts of neighbourhood change. 12 January. Australia.
- Silvia K and Christian R (2024) *Participatory budgeting: A pathway to inclusive and transparent governance*. 11 September.

Sustainability Journal

Research Article

- Udi E, Mike R and Alan S (2016) Community Land Trusts, affordable housing and community organising in low-income neighbourhoods. *International Journal of Housing Policy*: 1–22.
- University of Texas (2024) Understanding Gentrification and Displacement.
- U.S. House of Rep. (2008) Affordable Housing Needs in the City of Houston: Unique Challenges and Opportunities. 29 October. Washinton.
- Winifred C (2017) 'Mexicans love red' and other gentrification myths: Displacements and contestations in the gentrification of Pilsen, Chicago, USA. *Urban Studies* 55(8).
- Yael S-Y (2023) Gentrifiers of Color: Class Inequalities in Ethnic/Racial Neighbourhood Displacement. *Journal of the American Planning Association* 90(3): 434–451.